



## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
08/823,9	80 03/25/97	WEINER	6	4	CHIR-0108	
_		HM22/0703		EXAM	MINER	
ALISA A. HARBIN, ESQ			SC	SCHWADRON,R		
	ORPORATION		ART UN	JT	PAPER NUMBER	
4560 HOR	TUAL PROPERTY TON STREET _E CA 94608-2		1 6	44 ED:	22	
					07/03/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

## Application No.

Office Action Summary

Applic.

08/823,980 Weiner et al.

Examiner

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1644

	Limitat Date Est III. (1 (1) Limitation (1)
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for forms in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expir is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	pond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 40-53	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 43, 44, 46, 47, 50, and 51	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 40-42, 45, 48, 49, 52, and 53	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie The drawing(s) filed on	by the Examiner.  is approved disapproved.  35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  priority documents have been  ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under	31 35 U.S.C. s 119(e).
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE DEFICE ACTION ON THE FO	HOWING PAGES

Serial No. 08/823980

Art Unit 1644

1. The request filed on 4/6/2000 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/823980 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

2

- 2. Claims 40-42,45,48,49,52,53 are under consideration.
- 3. The amendment filed 2/1/99 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows.

The new matter is SEQ. ID. No. 8 wherein said sequence recites Xaa at a variety of positions in said sequence wherein said sequence encompasses any sequence with any combination of amino acids specified by the Xaa amino acids as defined in the sequence listing. There is no disclosure of SEQ. ID. No. 8 in pages 1-43 of the specification or the claims as originally filed. Figure 2-1 of the specification discloses the HCV E2HV sequences for 90 HCV isolates (see Brief description of drawings and specification, Example 1). Example 1 discloses that Figure 2 shows a conserved motif from 90 E2HV isolates. This motif is listed as the first line of Figure 2-1 as ".T.VTGG.AARTT.G..SLF..G.SQ.IQLI". Figure 2 seems to possibly indicate that "." as recited in said sequence refers to a variety of different amino acids that were found in said sequence at the position listed in the 90 sequences actually disclosed in Figure 2. However, the consensus sequence also seems to specifically refer to the 90 sequences disclosed in Figure 2 and not encompass permutations wherein "." at the first position is the amino acid disclosed in sequence 2, while "." third position is derived from sequence 5, etc. The consensus sequence simply discloses that of the 90 sequences disclosed in Figure 2, that most of said sequences had a pattern of amino acids generally similar to that in the consensus sequence. The specification does not disclose the consensus sequence recited in Figure 2 wherein "." represents any Xaa amino acid as specified in the sequence listing in combination with any other Xaa amino acid specified in the sequence listing. It is equally unclear if "." as recited in the consensus sequence actually even had a contemplated amino acid at said position or whether "." simply designated a portion of the

sequence that was generally more variable than other portions of the consensus sequence which were assigned a particular amino acid.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

Applicants arguments in the amendment filed 9/28/98 have not addressed the issues raised in this rejection.

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 40-42,45,48,49,52,53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. I12, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support in the specification as originally filed for the recitation of "Xaa-Thr-Xaa-Val-Thr-Gly-Gly-Xaa-Ala-Ala-Arg-Thr-Thr-Xaa-Gly-Xaa-Ser-Leu-Phe-Xaa-Xaa-Gly-Xaa-Ser-Gln-Xaa-Ile-Gln-Leu-Ile (SEQ. ID NO:8)" in claim 40. There is no disclosure of SEQ. ID. No. 8 in pages 1-43 of the specification or the claims as originally filed. Figure 2-I of the specification discloses the HCV E2HV sequences for 90 HCV isolates (see Brief description of drawings and specification, Example 1). Example I discloses that Figure 2 shows a conserved motif from 90 E2HV isolates. This motif is listed as the first line of Figure 2-1 as ".T.VTGG.AARTT.G..SLF..G.SQ.IQLI". Figure 2 seems to possibly indicate that "." as recited in said sequence refers to a variety of different amino acids that were found in said sequence at the position listed in the 90 sequences actually disclosed in Figure 2. However, the consensus sequence also seems to specifically refer to the 90 sequences disclosed in Figure 2 and not encompass permutations wherein "." at the first position is the amino acid disclosed in sequence 2, while "." third position is derived from sequence 5, etc. The consensus sequence simply discloses that of the 90 sequences disclosed in Figure 2, that most of said sequences had a pattern

of amino acids generally similar to that in the consensus sequence. The specification does not disclose the consensus sequence recited in Figure 2 wherein "." represents any Xaa amino acid as specified in the sequence listing in combination with any other Xaa amino acid specified in the sequence listing. It is equally unclear if "." as recited in the consensus sequence actually even had a contemplated amino acid at said position or whether "." simply designated a portion of the sequence that was generally more variable than other portions of the consensus sequence which were assigned a particular amino acid. There is no written description in the specification as originally filed of the claimed invention (eg. it constitutes new matter).

Applicants arguments in the amendment filed 9/28/98 have not addressed the issues raised in this rejection.

6. Claims 40-42,45,48,49,52,53 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention for the reason elaborated in the previous Office Action. Applicants arguments have been considered and deemed not persuasive.

There is no support in the specification as originally filed for the recitation of "wherein the amino acid sequence motif is the only sequence corresponding to a hypervariable domain of hepatitis C virus" in the context recited in claim 40. There is no written description in the specification as originally filed of the claimed invention (eg. it constitutes new matter).

Regarding applicants comments in the amendment filed 9/28/98, while the specification defines the E2 hypervariable region as amino acids 384-414, there is no disclosure in the specification of the claimed invention which is a polypeptide comprising the amino sequence recited in the claims "wherein the amino acid sequence motif is the only sequence corresponding to a hypervariable domain of hepatitis C virus". Applicant has not pointed out support for the claimed invention in the specification or claims as originally filed.

7. Claims 40-42,45,48,49,52,53 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action. Applicants

arguments have been considered and deemed not persuasive.

The specification is not enabling for the claimed peptides wherein the peptides are "immunogenic". The claims recite that the peptide recited in the claims is immunogenic. The claims under consideration encompass a vast number of different peptides because of the recitation of Xaa in various portions of the formula used to depict the claimed peptide. There is no disclosure in the specification as to which of the vast number of peptides encompassed by the claimed peptide are immunogenic and which are not. The claims under consideration do not specifically read on naturally occurring peptides that are found in HCV. The claims under consideration encompass peptides that are not found in HCV. In fact, the peptide of claim 40 and 53 is not found in nature (eg. it represents a "consensus" peptide based on frequently occurring amino acids found in 90 sequenced HCV isolates, while said sequence is not actually found in any of the sequenced isolates). There is no evidence of record that such a peptide exists in nature. It would require undue experimentation to determine which of the vast numbers of peptides encompassed by the claims under consideration are immunogenic and which are not. Regarding the peptide disclosed in Example 2 in the specification, said peptide is not encompassed by the claimed peptide (eg. it differs in amino acid sequence from the claimed peptide). The art recognizes that antibodies bind to a particular three dimensional epitope formed by a particular peptide epitope (see Berzofsky page 176, second column, continued on page 177). There is no disclosure in the specification that the sequence recited in claim 40 contains an immunogenic epitope which does not have a Xaa inserted in the middle of said epitope. In fact, there is no disclosure in the specification of the nature of actual immunogenic epitopes contained in the claimed peptide. The specification discloses in Example 2 that the peptide of SEQ. ID. No. 2 contains three different potential epitopes bound by antibodies. However, none of these epitopes are found in the claimed molecule. Thus, there is no evidence of record that the claimed invention actually contains immunogenic epitopes. Even if the claimed invention included naturally occurring HCV sequences (which it does not), Weiner et al. (1992) establish that not all naturally occurring HCV E2/NS1 derived peptides are immunogenic (see paragraph 18 of the Office action mailed 7/24/96). However, the claimed invention is limited to nonnaturally occurring HCV derived peptides because the amino acid motif recited in the claim encompasses a vast number of peptides wherein said peptides are not found in HCV. The art recognizes that antibodies bind to

Serial No. 08/823980 Art Unit 1644

a particular three dimensional epitope formed by a particular peptide epitope (see Berzofsky page 176, second column, continued on page 177). The claims under consideration encompass nonnaturally occurring peptides which may or may not possess an immunogenic epitope because said peptides do not possess a three dimensional peptide epitope similar to that occurring in a naturally occurring HCV peptide. It would require undue experimentation determine what peptides encompassed by the claimed invention are immunogenic and which peptides were not.

Regarding applicants comments, the claimed invention encompasses vast numbers of peptides wherein the peptides are not restricted to naturally occurring HCV E2/NS1 epitopes. It would require undue experimentation to determine what peptides encompassed by the claimed invention are immunogenic and which peptides were not. The art recognizes that antibodies bind to a particular three dimensional epitope formed by a particular peptide epitope (see Berzofsky page 176, second column, continued on page 177). There is no disclosure in the specification that the sequence recited in claim 40 contains an immunogenic epitope which does not have a Xaa inserted in the middle of said epitope. In fact, there is no disclosure in the specification of the nature of actual immunogenic epitopes contained in the claimed peptide. The specification discloses in Example 2 that the peptide of SEQ. ID. No. 2 contains three different potential epitopes bound by antibodies. However, none of these epitopes are found in the claimed molecule. Thus, there is no evidence of record that the claimed invention actually contains immunogenic epitopes. Even if the claimed invention included naturally occurring HCV sequences (which it does not), Weiner et al. (1992) establish that not all naturally occurring HCV E2/NS1 derived peptides are immunogenic (see paragraph 18 of the Office action mailed 7/24/96). However, the claimed invention is limited to nonnaturally occurring HCV derived peptides because the amino acid motif recited in the claim encompasses a vast number of peptides wherein said peptides are not found in HCV. The art recognizes that antibodies bind to a particular three dimensional epitope formed by a particular peptide epitope (see Berzofsky page 176, second column, continued on page 177). The claims under consideration encompass nonnaturally occurring peptides which may or may not possess an immunogenic epitope because said peptides do not possess a three dimensional peptide epitope similar to that occurring in a naturally occurring HCV peptide.

Regarding the Weiner declaration filed 9/28/98, the following comments are made. The Chien et al. and Hattori et al. publications as referred to in the Weiner declaration refer to experiments performed with a single peptide derived from a single actual HCV strain. Said peptide

Art Unit 1644

(as disclosed in paragraph 5 of the Weiner declaration) is not encompassed by the formula recited in the claimed invention. Therefore, the experiments referred to in the Weiner declaration are not relevant to the claimed invention because they were not performed using the peptide of the claimed invention. In addition, the claimed peptides encompass vast numbers of peptides wherein the vast majority of said peptides are not derived from HCV strains and said peptides do not exist in nature. The scope of the evidence supplied in the Weiner declaration is not commensurate with the scope of the claimed invention. Furthermore, there is also no evidence of record that the results disclosed in the Chien et al. publication do not occur because the detected strains have identical amino acid sequences at a particular epitope found in said sequence. The peptide used in said experiments also seems to be derived from a naturally occurring HCV sequence while the peptides recited in the claimed invention encompass sequences that do not occur in HCV.

- 8. No claim is allowed.
- 9. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 at (703) 308-4242.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703) 308-4680. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00. A message may be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1644

RONALD B. SCHWADRON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1800 - Chow